dorsetcomplaints



2023/24

		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total
	Number of FORMAL complaints	15	21	9	20	65
69	Number of INFORMAL complaints	14	21	16	23	74
uphetd	Upheld approaches to the LGSCO Ombudsman compared to total received	0/0	1/1	0/0	0/0	1/1
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Timescales (overdue)	18%	14%	10%	14%	14%
N/	% fully justified complaints	8%	7%	20%	8%	11%
	% part justified complaints	16%	7%	16%	16%	14%
14	Compliments	11	13	14	15	53
	Learnings	8	14	1	8	31

People - Children - social care Total complaints = 139

2023/24

dorsetcomplaints



2023/24

		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total
	Number of FORMAL complaints	53	43	48	41	185
69	Number of INFORMAL complaints	18	15	15	15	63
upheld	Upheld approaches to the LGSCO Ombudsman compared to total received	4/5	9/10	4/9	4/17	20/40
	Timescales (overdue)	18%	14%	10%	14%	14%
W	% fully justified complaints	23%	17%	6%	8%	14%
	% part justified complaints	20%	17%	16%	4%	14%
14	Compliments	14	12	19	14	59
	Learnings	20	27	3	8	58

People - Children - non social care Total Complaints = 248

2023/24

Appendices

Children's Service Statutory Reporting Requirements

Although the overall numbers for Childrens Complaints are similar year on year, this report will highlight the increase in formal Stage 1 complaints for Social Care, the timeliness of the responses and the trend in cases escalating.

Most complaints received are from parents (some estranged) and not necessarily representing the voice or best interest of the child. However, more of this issues raised 2023-24 have qualified for the statutory process and escalation route to stage 2 and 3. The majority of the Stage 2 escalations have been around CWAD and often seek a level of financial remedy which is not always possible in this process

The figures below show an increase in complaints over all for Childrens Social care, but not limited to Children in Care which feature further down the report. Representations capture the figures for complaints that were resolved informally outside of the Statutory Process

Happily this report will also offer assurances that SEND related complaints were beginning to fall during the year

Children's Social Care	2023-24	2022-23	2021-22
Representations	74	106	91
Stage 1	64	34	29
Stage 2	5	1	1
Stage 3	1	0	0
LGSCO approaches	1 with 1 upheld (passed back to Council)	5 with 1 upheld	6 with 1 upheld
Children's Whole Authority	2023-24	2022-23	2021-22
Representations	63	129	75
Formal Complaint	182	180	98
LGSCO approaches	24 with 21 upheld	35 with 16 upheld	13 with 6 upheld

5 cases were accepted at Stage 2 detailed below. This is up from just 1 in 2022-23 and we are looking more closely at why the stage 1 investigations are failing to resolve the complaints straight away

CASE no	Team locality	Brief Subject	Outcome Upheld/ Part/Not	Total cost of IO	Total cost of IP	Additional cost
COM/4822	CWAD	For a period of 8 months, prior to child being taken into care, the family had been struggling. No support was offered, or action taken by Children's Services to try and keep child in his home environment.	Partially Upheld	£3367.20	£2,117.74	None

COM/3262	CWAD	The level of service and resourcing provided by Dorset Council is not sufficient to meet the assessed needs of complainant's son.	Upheld	£3,780	£1,207.50	None
COM/4613	CWAD	Mother has not had clear confirmation in writing from Dorset Council of a permanent housing arrangement that meets daughter's needs	Upheld	£1,462.90	£459.50	None
COM/4783	North	Mother believes that the social work practice that she has experienced as a parent under child in need has been poor and she has raised complaints that she feels have not been dealt with appropriately.	Withdrawn at Stg3	Withdrawn at Stg3	Withdraw n at Stg3	Withdrawn at Stg3
COM/3472	Chesil	Alleged errors in report, inappropriate comments from Social Worker and lack of contact with late father who was in prison.	Stage 2	Not yet known	Not yet known	Not yet known
TOTALS				£8,610.10	£3,784.74	£0
OVERALL COST						£12,394.84

Local Government Ombudsman (LGSCO)

The Local Government Social Care Ombudsman (LGSCO) investigates complaints from the public about councils and other bodies providing public services in England. It also investigates complaints about registered social care providers.

Social Care

Only 1 Social Care Case was presented to the Ombudsman that they queried with Dorset Council. After enquiry, they passed the case back to Dorset Council for local process escalation. This was classed as upheld, albeit still under investigation at Stage 2

Non Social Care

Of the 33 UPHELD cases across Dorset Council in total, 21 of 33 were in Childrens Services and 20 relating to SEND matters and children out of education. The other cases were in Adults (7) and 5 in Place

The findings are outlined below

Reference And Remedy	Complaint in Brief	Service improvement recommendations
22006903 £500	Miss X complained that the Council failed to provide her son Y, with education set on his EHCP, and for failing to provide education when he was out of school. The issue of a lack of access to education prior to July 2021 is out of time and there are no good reasons to exercise discretion and investigate	Ensure relevant staff are appropriately trained with respect to the EHCP process. The Council should ensure staff are aware of the statutory timescales and that changeover of staff does not impact on process.
22007794 £31,200+ Rolling Cost (Unpaid as Mr M challenging via solicitor	Mr X complained the Council failed to make suitable alternative educational provision or special educational needs provision for his sons, carry out annual reviews of their Education, Health and Care Plans, or respond to his requests for support and his complaints. We have found fault by the Council causing injustice	I have concluded my investigation having made a finding of fault. The issue of a lack of access to education prior to July 2021 is out of time and there are no good reasons to exercise discretion and investigate. Further. we also do not have jurisdiction to investigate the failure to provide said education post the EHCP issued in July 2021. This is because Miss X acquired a right of appeal against the Council's EHCP which she later exercised. There is however fault in the time taken for the Council to issue Y's EHCP after September 2022, and the Council has further acknowledged it has not delivered SALT sessions to Y. The Council have agreed to my recommendations.
22007859 £2000	Miss X complained the Council failed to provide her son, C, with suitable alternative education when he was unable to attend school. Miss X said this caused him to miss out on his education and has caused distress, frustration, and financial difficulties for the family.	33. By 29 June 2023 the Council will: · Apologise to Miss X for the distress caused. · Pay Miss X £1700 for the benefit of C's education (this has been worked out at £400 a month from January 2022 until May 2022 taking into account school holidays). Pay Miss X £300 for the distress and frustration caused. 34. The Council should provide us with evidence it has complied with the above actions.

22009300 £2200	Miss X complained about the way the Council handled educational provision for her son (B), her complaints, and her information. She said this caused her and B unnecessary distress, frustration and uncertainty, and the loss of education and social interaction with peers impacted on B.	The Council will remind staff of the Council's legal duties to provide alternative educational provision when a child is out of school for health reasons. The Council should consider sharing a copy of our focus report ("Out of school, out of sight? Ensuring children out of school get a good education") and our final decision with staff. The Council will remind all staff, including directors, that when they receive any communication which is or could be a complaint, they must pass it to the complaints team and/or relevant department.
22009845 £150	Miss B complained about the Council's handling of her concerns for her grandchild's welfare whilst in her son's care. The Council agreed it was at fault for failing to acknowledge and respond to her calls and it wrongly shared information about her contacts with his mother. We found it also failed to apologise as promised	The Council will remind relevant social care staff of its Recording Policy and Guidelines which sets out when information received from anonymous non-professionals should not be shared with children and parents. This would include sharing information about whether a person have reported concerns and the number of calls they have made. The Council will remind its social care staff to acknowledge and respond to contacts from non-professionals regarding concern about a child as set out in the Council's Recording Policy and Guidelines, or as agreed with the individual.
22011440 £3800	Ms X complains the Council failed to issue an Education, Health and Care (EHC) Plan for her child, B, within the statutory timeframe. She also complains the Council failed to provide B with suitable alternative education provision and communicated poorly. We have found the Council at fault for the delay in issuing a final EHC Plan. We have also found the Council at fault for failing to arrange suitable alternative provision for B for periods during the 2020/2021 and 2021/22 academic years.	The Council will remind officers of its duty, under Section 19 of the Education Act 1996, to provide provision or suitable education for children of compulsory age who cannot attend school, because of exclusion, medical reasons, or otherwise. The Council will remind officers of the Department for Education's statutory guidance, which says the Council should consider liaising with medical professionals, such as the child's GP, in cases where medical evidence is not readily available. The Council will remind officers of the importance of keeping proper, suitable records, and refer officers to the Ombudsman's Principles of Good Administrative Practice.
22013476 £1400	Ms X complains her child has had no education since December 2021 as a post- 16 college place did not work out. Ms X says that this has caused her and her child's mental health to decline.	The Council should pay Ms X £1400 within one month of the date of the decision on this complaint. The Council should provide us with evidence it has complied with the above actions.
22015157 £1600	Mrs X complained that the Council failed to provide alternative educational provision for her son, and	We find the Council at fault which caused injustice. The Council has agreed to apologise and make a payment to remedy the injustice.

	communicated poorly with her	
22015375 £100	Mr X complains the Council failed to safeguard his child from malicious referrals and threatened to take action against him in a meeting if he could not safeguard his child	The Council has agreed to remind staff that where the Council is the lead agency for a child, it should be ensuring there is a record of the meeting.
22015727 £5954	Miss Y complains the Council failed in its duty to provide a full-time education to her son, D, when they moved into its area last year. Although the Council has put some alternative provision in place, Miss Y says this is part time and not sufficient for D.	The Council will improve the way it makes decisions about requests for alternative provision. This could be in the form of staff training or a briefing paper. As part of this, the Council will draw officers' attention to the Section 19 duties, accompanying statutory guidance and the LGSCO's focus report 'Out of School, Out of Sight?'.
22016569 £600	Mrs A complained about the Council's failure to provide her son, S, with suitable education after he stopped attending school in October 2022	The Council agreed to share this decision with its SEN officers and emphasise the importance of keeping up the service standards for communications and the importance of the Council acting on its section 19 duties.
22016709 £1800	The Council took too long to issue the draft and final education, health, and care plans (EHC plans). It could not get an assessment from an educational psychologist. Throughout this period of delay, the provision set out in the existing EHC plan was not always in place, and the Council took too long to arrange alternative provision to support Mrs B's son	Share this decision with the relevant staff.
23001076 £4500	Mrs X complains the Council failed to provide the educational provision as set out in her son, Y's, EHCP. We have concluded our investigation having made a finding of fault. After Y stopped attending School A in November 2022, the Council failed to deliver the provision in Y's EHCP, nor did it appropriately consider its section 19 duty	Review its out of school procedures to ensure it meets its duties to secure alternative provision when its section 19 duty applies. The Council should also review its EHCP annual review procedure to ensure it follows the statutory process. The Council should inform the Ombudsman of the outcome of its review.

23001176 £400	Miss X complained the Council failed to issue an amended final Education, Health and Care plan for her daughter Y, in line with the statutory timescales before her transition to high school, and delayed her right of appeal.	The Council will provide training for all staff involved in special educational needs on its obligations under the Special Educational Needs and Disabilities code of practice, to include: the statutory guidelines to issue a final Education, Health and Care (EHC) plan by 15 February in years where a child is due to transition to secondary school; how it explains the EHC plan and review process to parents and ensures staff clearly explain review timelines in transition years; and the difference between the EHC plan review deadline and the school admissions deadline for secondary school placements.
23001987	Mrs X complained that the Council	In its complaint response, the Council explained that it did
None	delayed arranging a	not accept the
	suitable school placement for her child and communicated poorly with	specialist setting's offer of a place for C because it felt a mainstream school could
	her. Mrs X said this caused	meet C's needs. It said it was gathering more information
	unnecessary and avoidable distress	about C's needs and
	and frustration, and caused her child	would bring C's case back to the panel to reconsider the
	stress and anxiety. We find the Council	request for a specialist
	at fault for communicating poorly.	setting. The Council agreed that it had communicated poorly with Mrs X. The Council apologised for this.
23004838	Mrs Y complained the Council failed to	The Council has agreed to review its procedures for
£8900	provide her child, Z,	ensuring officers: consider the Council's section19 duty at
	with a suitable education when they	appropriate stages; make decisions about this duty
	were unable to attend school,	promptly; properly record the reasons for their decisions;
	review their Education Health and Care	and communicate decisions to parents and carers; and
	Plan and communicate properly with her. We have found fault	report to us on the outcome of the review and any changes made.
	by the Council in failing to	changes made.
	make alternative provision for Z,	
	complete a phase transfer review	
	within the required timescale and	
	communicate properly with Mrs Y,	
	causing injustice.	

23009049 £850	•	As the Council proposed to amend Y's EHC Plan, it should have sent out details of the proposed amendments within 4 weeks of the annual review meeting and the final amended plan within 8 weeks of the proposed amendments. Therefore, the Council should have finalised Y's EHC Plan by 24 April 2023. However, the Council issued Y's final EHC Plan on 7 August 2023. This was fault and amounted to a delay of 15 weeks in producing a final EHC Plan. The Council says the delay in finalising the EHC Plan was the reason why it did not consult with placements sooner. This is a further injustice to Miss X and to Y.
23009232 £250		This delay of seven months was fault. There are various reasons for this delay, such as revisions being needed to the Draft EHC Plans and delays in getting information, such as from the school. However, this does not mitigate the fault of the Council in failing to meet the statutory timescales. 37. These delays have caused a delay in Mr X being able to engage his appeal rights to the tribunal. In addition, the delays have caused Mr X inconvenience, stress and frustration. 38. While this has impacted Mr X, this has not caused an injustice to Y. This is because the educational provision outlined in Y's 2019 EHC Plan is comparable to the provision detailed in the 2023 EHC Plan. What has changed between the two EHC Plans is the outcomes. Since Y continued to receive the same level of support he would have been entitled to, this has not put Y to a disadvantage. 39. The Council was also at fault for putting incorrect information and making errors in Y's Draft EHC Plans. Mr X has pointed to numerous typographical errors, misinformation and referring to his child by the incorrect name. While the Council has corrected these errors when it produced the Final EHC Plan, these errors will have added to Mr X's inconvenience and frustration.

23009368 £1754.96	Ms X complained the Council wrongly refused her application for home to school transport for her child Y to the school named in Y's Education, Health and Care Plan.	The Council will provide training/updated guidance to all relevant staff (Special Educational Needs and Disability and transport teams) on how to apply the Dudley test for pupils with Education, Health and Care Plans and the implications of only naming one school, without conditions, in an Education, Health and Care Plan.
23017734	Miss X, says the Council failed to	Progressed to Stage 2
None	properly reply to her children services' complaint.	
21017726	Mrs X complained the Council delayed	The Council acknowledged the delay in issuing the
£1650	issuing her son's Education, Health and	Education Health
	Care plan and it failed to secure	and Care plan. We found fault in the alternative provision
	suitable alternative provision while it	the Council secured. The Council has agreed to apologise
	sourced a suitable school place for him	to Mrs X and make a payment in recognition of the injustice caused by the faults

Total = £69,608.96 (£31,200+ rolling unclaimed)

Which customer groups made the complaints;

Of the small number of complainants who categorised themselves, we present the following data on who is complaining to children's services Social Care

Young Person	5
Grandparent	3
Group	1
Individual	2
Parent	36
Advocate	2
Customer	15
Other Relative	2

The types of complaints made;

Most complaints fall under subcategories of Service Provision, largely around delays of perceived failures to deliver a service

Themes	Children's Social Care
Communication	7%
Data	3%
Finance	0%
Policy - Disagreement with Decision	18%

Service Provision - Delay	0%
Professional Practice/Quality of Service	65%
Misconduct	5%
Other	2%

The outcome of complaints;

Of the complaints received for Children's Social Care in 2023-24 only 11% were considered Fully Justified by operational managers shows there is some level of justification as outlined below:

Fully Justified 11% up from 7% last year

Partially Justified 14% the same as last year

Not justified 75% down from 79% last year

In 2023-24 the Complaints Team have improved the quarterly reporting and added extra value in terms of learnings and actions from complaints. In many cases however the complaints process is used by parents who are unhappy with records held that cant be legally altered. There is always more work to do in providing robust assurance of our self assessment of the services we provide.

Focus on Children in Care

The table below demonstrates a breakdown of Children in Care complaints. All complaints by young people are coming to the Complaints team via an advocacy service. As the numbers are so low there could be concerns we are not hearing the voice of the Child in Dorset. However, I have assurances through the work of the Corporate Parenting Board Sub Committee that we are hearing the voices loud and clear, and acting before things escalation unduly to formal complaints.

Children in Care 2023-24	3
Children in Care 2022-23	10
Children in Care 2021-22	8
Children in Care 2020-21	15
Children in Care 2019-20	10

Advocacy is described as supporting children to have their say and making sure their views and wishes are taken into consideration on decisions and matters that affect them, it is also about ensuring that rights are upheld. Article 12 of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child sets out the right of children to be listened to in decisions which affect them.

There is a statutory duty to provide an independent advocacy service that supports children and young people to have their views and wishes taken into account when key decisions are being made about their lives.

An advocate can help if:

something needs to be started, changed or stopped, for example, if the child is unhappy about their treatment by children's social care or there are worries about plans being made, such as a move a child needs support during meetings to make sure their voice is heard children need advice and want to know their rights

a child needs support to make a complaint

In 2023-24 we have identified just the 3 cases that related to children in care, all via an advocate representing the voice of the child through the complaints process. You will note the figures are consistently low by comparison to the overall figures.

Children in Care (CIC)

In Q1 there were no CIC complaints, but 2 from last year are still with us as dialogue continues with advocacy around contact with a sibling, and the death of a parent in custody. Neither could be pursued through the complaints process, although 1 remains on our books and has had the benefit of an Investigating Officers views

In Q2 there were 2 CIC complaints, CW Fostering & housing, and AG relating to carehome and loss of money

In Q3 there was just 1 CIC complaint regarding incidents at a property and notice to leave. This was managed informally in the service without advocacy

In Q4 there were no complaints from CIC or advocacy

Compliance with timescales, and complaints resolved within extended timescale as agreed;

The table below show the majority of all Stage 1 cases for Children's Services Social Care were within the 20-day statutory timescale. A trend that is improving

Timescales	2023-24	2022-23	2021-22
0-20 Working Days	86%	85%	77%
20+ days	14%	15%	23%

As always, closer observation reveals that some cases exceed the 20 days as a result of agreeing a more informal approach and resolution after the receipt of the original complaint, with good work from locality managers. This can include follow up calls and meetings, so the figure in isolation does not tell the whole story of the journey of the complaints.

learning and service improvement, including changes to services that have been implemented and details of any that have not been implemented;

Learning points are collected at all stages of the complaints procedure. At stage 1, Operational Managers identify learning from complaints and learning actions. At Stages 2 and 3, action plans are compiled based on the

recommendations of the investigator's or panel chair's report. In addition, the LGSCO will include recommendations to remedy complaints, and actions are monitored by the complaints team to ensure that they are completed.

How we disseminate learning

Quarterly reports to Children's Services Leadership Team produced by the Complaints team

Dissemination of the quarterly report to Operational Managers to be discussed at Service Team Meetings.

The complaints team also feed into the performance data for SLT each quarter

How we learn from complaints

Improvement in Stage 1 responses - Additional Training to be provided to Team Managers on how to complete and present and stage one investigations, within statutory timescales. The Complaints Team Manager will be attending meetings to advise and update

Operational Managers to ensure that Team Managers and Social Workers are clear about the need to record meetings. Robust quality assurance processes put in place.

Improved use of complaints as a measure of performance and quality control:

Evidence of sharing of quarterly reports in QAudits and closing loop with service managers. Identifying trends early

Examples of learning from Complaints:

We have collected 31 really good quality and robust organisational learnings in 23-24, up from 28 last year which is really pleasing

Case ID	What we have learned from Complaints	What we have done as a result to improve practice
COM 4177 Chesil (DMc)	Ensure correct procedures are followed by social workers when seeking parental consent for any child and family assessments	Staff reminded via either email or Team meeting
COM/4636 (LW)		Social Worker recognises that four representatives from Dorset Council was not proportionate in a core group meeting will ensure this is considered in future.
COM/4714 (BL)		JUSTIFIED
ChAD	paperwork should have been provided Family felt pressurised into keeping the child and guilty for not keeping him longer.	Managers from ChAD will be discussing this with Social Workers in the Locality Teams to ensure that files are regularly kept up to date as this paramount for emergency situations and to keep the child, foster carers and their families safe.
	Both officers have reflected on why they may have portrayed themselves as frustrated due to the nature of the day	Both officers have reflected on why they may have portrayed themselves as frustrated due to the nature of the day. This will be discussed and monitored in future supervisions with both workers.
COM/3472 Purbeck C SC (LW)	Manager identified learning for the organisation is the use of language, the phrase 'ticking boxes'.	General discussion held about complaints / communication at Team Meeting on 01.06.23.

	Í	
COM/4816 + COM/4415 (linked) Fostering/CSC DMC/BL		Confirmation that incorrect car seat is no longer in use and removed from foster carer by social worker Review of all car seats has taken place - those that were inappropriate or incorrect have been removed and replaced All KiT workers have received briefing to confirm, remind and reinforce legal requirements in the safe transport of children Regular supervision of social workers taking place and HoS has requested that type of car seat is recorded within children's notes. All new team members will have induction which will include safety awareness Changes have been made and implemented as a direct result of this complaint
COM/4886 KBO	Child/young person should be returned to their parent/carer/ home address following a Social Work visit, unless alternative arrangement has been agreed with parent/carer.	Justified - Social Worker involved has reflected on the incident (with Team Manager oversight) to inform and improve future working practice.
COM/4876 C SC (NORTH) (LW)	Complainant did not receive the conference report in a timely way was justified. Manager to talk to mother to confirm any amendments she wishes to make to the information provided in previous assessments.	Manager stated they have learnt from this and will ensure complainant is in receipt of the report, ahead of the conference in October, within timescales. Manager to ensure that any comments made by mother are included in the children's chronology.
COM/4853 C SC (DORCHESTER AND WEST) (LW)	Redacting third party information in assessments where these are shared with different family members and understanding the impact that sharing very limited details can have on a family. The importance of young parents who are involved in private family law proceedings to have an advocate to support them	This has been discussed with the social worker involved. Manager has discussed with complainant and her daughter, creating a case study based on the daughter's experiences to share with the Court and CAFCASS so that this can be considered for other young people in the same situation.
COM5120 NORTH CSC INF REPS COM 5084 NORTH DORSET	SW should not enter property without express consent given Not everything was explained to the complainant	JUSTIFIED SW has reflected on actions and agrees this was inappropriate at the time SW will now call on mobile if no answer at door Addressed in individual supervision session
STAGE 1		Review of this within Children and Advice Service

	Complainant not supported by Children's Services throughout the process	
COM/5088 CWAD Stage 1	Unhappy with outcome of CIN assessment & Social Worker's involvement in the case	Service Manager is mindful of the need to work in partnership and harmony with the family, so whilst no fault has been found, they will provide a new worker
COM/5542 CIC Stage 1	It was not acceptable for CIC to move with belongings in black bags.	This has been raised with the whole team and apology given with assurance that suitcases will be provided in the future. Work is being carried out with Housing to raise the profile of joint strategy.
COM/5392 CSC EAST LOCAL Stage 1	Investigation has concluded that family have not received the standard of service that they should have and that on this occasion Dorset Council have not provided adequate help or support.	·
COM/4613 C SC – STAGE 2 CWAD	all professionals and complainant	

	ensure that her daughter's needs are appropriately met.	s planning will be on ensuring that complainant's child is well cared for and her needs prioritised
COM/5127 (LC)	Parent was unhappy about a a statement made in the 'Manager's Comment' field of the minutes for an Early Help Team Around the Family (TAF) Meeting. The impact of the statement, shared with the ex-partner, has been to undermine and complicate the relationship with the ex-partner and that it has led to a break in the contact with the children.	 Work with our digital team to remove the statement in relation to Domestic Abuse from the children's record. Write to the ex partner to inform her of the error, acknowledge what has happened, and provide an apology to her for any impact this misinformation created. Contact the other attendees of the TAF meeting to make them aware that the information provided was incorrect. Formally advise our Data Protection Team of the error. There is wider learning for us as a Service. We need to:
		 Consider how we record sufficient relevant information on a child's record when there is a third party named. Reflect upon the way that we record the rationale for our decisions to ensure that it remains robust, but with an awareness that it will be shared with parents. Consider changing the way wording is imported from one part of a case record to another to allow for editing / changing by Locality managers where appropriate. Question more rapidly and robustly when factual challenges are raised by parents about information we hold.
COM/5624 C SC Stage 1 (KBO)	Parent was unhappy with Social Worker's approach and how this left her feeling.	Follow-up emails will be sent after visits, bullet pointing what was discussed and any agreed actions to help improve the communication between parent and social worker.
COM/5542 C SC Stage 1 (LW)	Care leaver not feeling listened too. Lack of appropriate accommodation. Clothing went missing during move due to lack of suitcases.	A learning event has been held with the team around these issues for this young person and others.
	Inappropriate sharing of personal information.	Discussion to be had with Service Manager regarding sharing of information.
COM/5513 C – INFORMAL (LW)	No response from team to emails. \Promised once a month call did not take place with social worker	Manager has addressed this within the team and given updated advice and training on the importance of correspondence.

COM/5213 SEN	Parenting assessment was not sent to parent, who disagreed with some of its contents.	Manager has addressed this with the previous worker explaining the impact on complainant. The new worker is aware that agreed calls should take place. This learning was also part of the advice and training as addressed in the above point. A social worker has visited the family to reassess the current situation and see what support Children's
(LC)		Services can offer.
COM/5154	Parent has not been routinely invited to CIC reviews.	Parent is to be invited to future reviews.
	This was a complaint made by a grandmother who felt that their daughter had been asked for information about her as part of a court ordered psychological assessment. Information about psychological assessments and what's involved being available to parents would be helpful.	Team manager to talk to the team about Information being available to parents explaining the process of a court ordered psychological assessment helpful information can be found here: Psychological assessment (of an adult) - Family Rights Group (frg.org.uk)
COM/1855 (KR)	Concerns raised by grandparent regarding the lack of sibling contact and the siblings being separated in foster care.	Regular monthly sibling contact has been arranged and appropriate facetime contact in between is being considered.
COM/5358 (LC)	Data Breach: The Business support team undertake Box phish training. So unfortunately, this was human error with an email done in a rush. Learning is useful as it is a reminder to not fire off 'quick' emails (which this was) and take time to pause before sending. Fortunately, the impact of the data breach was low, but it has sent a warning to all of us to be ever more cautious and double check we have bcc'd	 I would like to know if it is possible to have a delay send on all our emails to give us at least 30 secs to stop an email if we know we have not bcc'd. Ensure our whole team can learn about the potential consequences of such data breaches. I have also suggested to Business support that it would be good to try and limit the number of emails we send out.
COM/4546 SEN (LW)	Improve the way SEN Team makes decisions about requests for alternative provision in the form of staff training or a briefing paper. As part of this, the Council will draw officers' attention to the Section 19 duties, accompanying statutory guidance and the LGSCO's focus report 'Out of School, Out of Sight?'	Manager has carried out this staff training and has arranged for presentation of the training to those who were on leave / out of the office.
COM/5963 CSC Business Support (LW/BL)		There is some learning that could have prevented the two further taxis. Had the service had a conversation with the taxi company in August 2023, in addition to checking that the bookings had been cancelled, the mistake on the part of the taxi company would have been identified earlier.

COM/6094	There was a gap where shildren were not	This learning will be shared with the KIT service to help prevent a re-occurrence. It is noted that the taxi company have sent their apologies for their error, and I would again extend my apologies for the distress you have experienced as a consequence of this error
COM/6084 C SC (LW)	There was a gap where children were not seen by a social worker, due to extremely urgent issues arising with another service user.	Manager has reminded the social worker of the importance of maintaining fortnightly visits.
COM 6230 C SC (DMc)	PA shared information with other professionals	Staff reminded that they need to be clear what consent is given and no confusion
	Minimal support available with supported accommodation	Significant changes made to the level of support offered to their care leavers. Survey commissioned for all care leavers to help us understand what the Council are doing well and what needs changing
COM/5994	Although CHAD services acted in a manner to ensure Keira's immediate safety, the advice given to her to stay with her friend for the weekend should not have been issued without the consent of her parent(s)	Concerns have been shared with the service manager for CHAD and requested some additional training for staff.
COM/6317 (C SC) (LW)	The Manager and Social Worker discussed how to ensure that Families and Carers have our Duty and CHAD telephone numbers and email addresses, so that any important emails or calls are not missed.	As a result of this discussion, the Manager confirmed that each Social Worker will share with Families and Carers at Child in Care Reviews the CHAD and Duty contact details. The Manager aims to ensure that this action is completed by 1 March 2024.
COM/6475 CSC (BL)	There was drift within the service and communications/information were not consistent and lacking. We accept that communications should have been with both parents, as they share PR.	We acknowledged that during our intervention with the family the communication was not clear and fell below the expected standards. We have extended our apologies and TM has spoken directly with both family and SWs to ensure this practice does not continue
COM/5740 CSC (BL)	Partially upheld It is clear that there was some missed appointments and delayed documents within the context of a worker's illness absence and her subsequent decision to leave the local authority.	We acknowledge concerns around the comments recorded within the minutes of the review child protection conference which suggest some bias on the part of the social worker. • Will try to ensure communication is delivered in a timelier manner • Apology offered for distress caused • There was delay to filing court order, but this does not indicate a lack of support from the SW to the family • By the nature of a split meeting, information shared pertains to the children and predominantly to the parent that is in the meeting at the time. It is important to

COM/6411 (C SC) (LC)	Although Social Workers and managers are trained in the importance of including both resident and non-resident parents at every	read this document with that context in mind. That said, it is important that information shared is evidenced based and avoids value judgements. • Apology offered for missed meeting this was an unforeseen error; however, children have since been discussed at core meeting + conference. • New SW allocated to children with positive open dialogue • Explanation that conversation held outside of CSC remit, however concerns raised will be flagged with XX. Note that issue may have already been addressed further to positive work recorded on file Manager to ensure, through 1-2-1 supervision and case oversight, that social workers are inclusive and, at point of closure being agree, both resident and
	stage of involvement, this doesn't always happen.	non-resident parents are given an opportunity to have their views and input heard.
COM/6204 (C SC)	 Parent did not feel they had been listened to nor the context of their family's individual situation had been considered. Delay in delivering the highchair. The OT identified that the seat was not as had been assessed or quoted for. We suspected at that point that the order may have been inadvertently mixed up prior to delivery and attempts were made to rectify this. 	The team will consider how they obtain additional information, for example, it may be a better option to arrange a face-to-face discussion to allow for a conversation, and an opportunity to explain why the panel made the recommendations to the family worker. Despite the Occupational Therapist (OT) chasing our equipment services on numerous occasions, the chair was not delivered until September. The length of time taken to resolve the seating was inexcusably. The Occupational Therapist did everything to try and get the issue resolved as quickly as possible. Despite raising this with our equipment service, no explanation has been offered. The manager of the Occupational Therapy service is continuing to follow this up with them to ensure that it does not occur again.

Sharing Information

Professionals need to ensure they have explicit permission to share information, either through statutory guidance or with the consent of the children and family involved. This includes when sharing information with other family members.

Maintaining confidentiality within a family is complex and checks should always be made of the records to confirm what can and cannot be shared with each family member. A handover of key information between practitioners and their managers in respect of any changes in family's circumstances should take place after periods of absence to ensure clarity about what has happened and what information can be shared with whom.

Data Protection and Appropriate Action to take

Managers need to contact Data Protection Team as soon as possible following a potential breach to seek advice about immediate actions to mitigate risk and distress to the family. When aware that a data breach has occurred, advice must be obtained from the Data Protection Team about immediate actions required. Information on how to report a data breach can be found athttps://intranet.dorsetcouncil.gov.uk/task/report-a-data-breach/Unless advised

otherwise, contact should be made with the family to alert them to the breach and to provide apologies, reassurance and information about what the service will be doing to rectify the situation and mitigate the risks. Safeguarding, the Legal Framework and Good Practice

Reference Information Sharing: Advice for practitioners providing safeguarding services to children, young people, parents and carers.

A summary of statistical data about the age, gender, disability, sexual orientation and ethnicity of complainants

All complainants to Dorset Council are sent an equality and diversity monitoring form as part of the complaint process. Complainants are asked to complete this form but it is completely voluntary. This year we had a 70% uptake. The percentages are based on those who completed the form only and not specific to Children's Services as they are anonymous

	2023-24	2022-23
Gender		
Female	52%	50%
Male	32%	33%
Self described or non binary	1%	1%
Prefer not to say or left blank	15%	16%
Sexuality		
Heterosexual	66%	67%
Gay Man	1%	1%
Bisexual	2%	2%
Prefer not to say (selected)	17%	14%
Gay Woman/Lesbian	1%	2%
Left Blank	13%	14%
Age	1370	2470
16-24	4%	4%
25-34	12%	11%
35-44	17%	15%
45-54	17%	16%
55-64	18%	17%
65-74	13%	17%
75 & Older	7%	6%
Left blank	12%	14%
Religion		
Atheist	3%	2%
Buddist	1%	0%
Christian (including Church of England, Catholic, Protestant and other		
Christian denominations)	30%	30%
Hindu	0%	0%
Jewish	0%	0%
Muslim	0%	0%
None/no religion	35%	36%
Other	3%	2%
Prefer not to say (selected)	12%	12%
Left Blank	28%	28%
Ethnicity		

	1	
Asian or Asian British - Indian	0%	0%
Asian or Asian British - Pakistani	0%	0%
Black or Black British - African	0%	0%
Black or Black British - Caribbean	0%	0%
Mixed Ethnic Background - Other White background	0%	0%
Mixed Ethnic Background - White and Asian	0%	0%
Mixed Ethnic Background - White and Black African	0%	0%
Mixed Ethnic Background - White and Black Caribbean	0%	0%
Not known	0%	0%
Prefer not to say	4%	4%
Traveller of Irish Heritage	0%	0%
White - British	74%	70%
White - Gypsy or Traveller	0%	0%
White - Irish	0%	0%
White - Other White background	0%	0%
Other	2%	2%
Left Blank	2%	24%
Disability		
Mental Health, Learning Difficulty/Sensory	2%	0%
Mental Health Long Term	4%	2%
Mental Health - Unspecified	4%	2%
Physical Disability - Long Term	2%	4%
Physical Disability - Unspecified	5%	6%

A review of the effectiveness of the complaints procedure

The Complaints Team send out forms to gather feedback from complainants about their experience of complaining in order to continually improve the service. Regrettably there has been very little uptake on this and there is no reportable data of any value established.

Compliments

Finally we are happy to advise that Childrens services received 111 compliments in 23-24 so genuinely heartening to see

The positive sentiments and hope it presents more of a balanced critique of the service